

Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections online, via e-mail or by fax.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- You can also insert your corrections in the proof PDF and **email** the annotated PDF.
- For fax submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the **journal title**, **article number**, and **your name** when sending your response via e-mail or fax.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- Check the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.
- Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible.**
- The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Please note

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: http://dx.doi.org/[DOI].

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <u>http://www.springerlink.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us if you would like to have these documents returned.

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Ir	nages will appear	in color online but will be printed in black and white.
ArticleTitle	Satisfaction and Motiv	ation of Homeowners Towards Green Homes
Article Sub-Title		
Article CopyRight	Springer Science+Bus (This will be the copy	iness Media Dordrecht right line in the final PDF)
Journal Name	ne Social Indicators Research	
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Tan
	Particle	
	Given Name	Teck-Hong
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Sunway University
	Address	Sunway, Malaysia
	Email	waltert@sunway.edu.my
	Received	
Schedule	Revised	
	Accepted	11 March 2013
Abstract	Whilst green homes ha how satisfied homeow the satisfaction level o Next, factor analysis is then followed by logis Results show that hom orientation, double-gla facilities. Rain water h green features among homes: 'Financial Ince The findings also dem homeowners to own gu in but also sustainable	ave been constructed by housing developers in Malaysia, developers should determine ners are with their green homes. This paper first reviews data from a survey to determine f homeowners towards their residence in terms of green features in Iskandar Malaysia. s carried out to identify benefits that motivate households to own green homes, and tic regression analysis to determine the effects of motivators on housing satisfaction. neowners are most satisfied with the green features of high ceiling, North–South azed panel glass doors and windows, solar panel system and landscaped parks with arvesting system and low-flow water fixtures, on the other hand, are the least satisfied homeowners. Four motivators are found that describe households' belief about green entives', 'Healthy and Sustainable Environment', 'Energy Efficiency' and 'Livability'. onstrated that the extent of housing satisfaction may depend on what motivates reen homes. It would seem that house buyers do not just demand a typical house to stay houses that do not compromise the environment.
Keywords (separated by '-')	Green home - Housing	g satisfaction - Motivation - Malaysia
Footnote Information		

Journal: 11205 Article: 310



Author Query Form

Please ensure you fill out your response to the queries raised below and return this form along with your corrections

Dear Author

During the process of typesetting your article, the following queries have arisen. Please check your typeset proof carefully against the queries listed below and mark the necessary changes either directly on the proof/online grid or in the 'Author's response' area provided below

Query	Details required	Author's response
1.	Please check and confirm the inserted	
	citation of Figure 2 and Tables 2, 3, 4 is	
	correct. If not, please suggest an	
	alternative citation. Please note that	
	figures and tables should be cited in	
	sequential order in the text.	
2.	Bond, (2000) has been changed to Bond	
	(2010) so that this citation matches the	
	list.	
3.	Ling and Gunawansa (2010) has been	
	changed to Ling and Gunawansa (2011)	
	so that this citation matches the list.	
4.	Reference Choguill (2008) are given in	
	list but not cited in text. Please cite in	
	text or delete from list.	
5.	Please check and confirm the page	
	number for the reference Harkness et al.	
	(2003).	
6.	The labels in figures $(1, 2)$ are not	
	readable. Please provide a new figure	
	with legible labels in Vector EPS or tiff	
	/ jpeg format with 600 dpi resolution.	

Г	
	$\overline{}$

Teck-Hong Tan

•	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
•	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🖌 DISK

Soc Indic Res DOI 10.1007/s11205-013-0310-2

A uthor Proof

Satisfaction and Motivation of Homeowners Towards Green Homes

6 Accepted: 11 March 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2013

8 **Abstract** Whilst green homes have been constructed by housing developers in Malaysia, 9 developers should determine how satisfied homeowners are with their green homes. This 10 paper first reviews data from a survey to determine the satisfaction level of homeowners 11 towards their residence in terms of green features in Iskandar Malaysia. Next, factor 12 analysis is carried out to identify benefits that motivate households to own green homes, 13 and then followed by logistic regression analysis to determine the effects of motivators on 14 housing satisfaction. Results show that homeowners are most satisfied with the green 15 features of high ceiling, North-South orientation, double-glazed panel glass doors and 16 windows, solar panel system and landscaped parks with facilities. Rain water harvesting 17 system and low-flow water fixtures, on the other hand, are the least satisfied green features 18 among homeowners. Four motivators are found that describe households' belief about 19 green homes: 'Financial Incentives', 'Healthy and Sustainable Environment', 'Energy 20 Efficiency' and 'Livability'. The findings also demonstrated that the extent of housing 21 satisfaction may depend on what motivates homeowners to own green homes. It would 22 seem that house buyers do not just demand a typical house to stay in but also sustainable 23 houses that do not compromise the environment.

24 Keywords Green home · Housing satisfaction · Motivation · Malaysia

25 1 Introduction

26 Being a tropical country with abundant sun and rain and the prevailing southwesterly and

27 northeasterly winds, there are many opportunities for developers in Malaysia to construct 28 green buildings. In response to the growing interest in enhancing environmental

A1 T.-H. Tan (🖂)

A2 Sunway University, Sunway, Malaysia

A3 e-mail: waltert@sunway.edu.my; waltertanth@gmail.com

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	□ TYPESET
\$	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🔽 DISK
			ТН

sustainability, the Malaysian government together with the Association of Architects Malaysia and the Association of Consulting Engineers Malaysia in 2009 launched the environmental rating system for commercial and residential properties to promote the green culture among industry players in the country. Under the assessment framework, developers are encouraged to design and construct properties that promote energy and water efficiency, indoor environmental quality, sustainable site planning and management, and innovative processes.

Going green has become trendy among households, and many people are taking up this trend by adopting an eco-friendly lifestyle. One may be enticed to raise the question on why accentuation is being placed on green homes. This is possibly because developing environmentally sustainable and green home is important in the efforts to mitigate climate change (Lovell 2004; Seelig 2011; Tan 2013). With the government's recent move to promote the adoption of energy-saving measures for properties, many green homes are built in the country (Green Building Index 2013). However, to date, less empirical works has been conducted to evaluate the performance of the quality of green homes in the country. Therefore, the objective of this paper is to augment the work of Eves and Kippes (2010) by examining responses to the variation between the expectations and realities of key green home features as experienced by homeowners who reside in their green homes for at least 6 months.

48 In order to evaluate the performance of green homes, the concept of satisfaction has 49 become the most widely used in evaluating housing conditions (Lu 1999; Adriaanse 2007; 50 Erdogan et al. 2007). Housing satisfaction is known as an important component of 51 households' general quality of life. It has been used as a key indicator of households' 52 perception of general quality of life. There have been a number of studies on housing 53 satisfaction in Malaysia, and these studies focused mainly on conventional homes. No 54 study has been done to assess the households' satisfaction with green homes in Malaysia. 55 Increasing interest is now shown towards to study of how households think of their green 56 housing and how it affects their lives. It is interesting to gain an understanding on 57 households' satisfaction in green homes as an evaluation of the performance of green 58 homes. The development of green homes requires continuous studies of housing satisfaction needs to examine homeowners' satisfaction level with different types of green 59 60 attributes; therefore, greater knowledge of attributes that influence homeowners' behavior 61 could lead to a better understanding and prediction in determining homeowners' needs and 62 preferences.

For the green commercial properties, such properties have shown an increased market value in terms of higher sales, higher rental rates, increased occupancy and lower turnover, compared to comparable conventional buildings (Fisk 2000; Miller et al. 2008; Gunderson 2006; Furst and Mc Allister 2009; Bond 2010). Although there are only a few studies in literature that examine the benefits of green residential properties, it is reasonable to believe that living in environmental sustainable homes could provide tangible and intangible benefits to homeowners.

There are various economic growth areas developed by the Malaysian government recently. The adoption of low carbon cities has been incorporated in the development plan of economic growth areas. One of them is the Iskandar growth corridor, which covers the southern part of Johor State. Johor is the southernmost state in Peninsular Malaysia and third fastest-growing state after Selangor and Penang (see Fig. 1). Iskandar is ideally suited for the purpose of this research because it is Malaysia's proposed model of a socio economically and environmental sustainable development zone with excellent connectiv-

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46





Fig. 1 Iskandar Malaysia Source: http://latitudes.nu/iskandar-a-bellwether-for-improving-relations-between-singapore-and-malaysia/



Fig. 2 Project A, Project B and Project C in Iskandar, Malaysia Source: http://thissit.com/Projects-Taman-Setia-Tropika-Project-37.aspx

78 ity, infrastructure services, and environmental sensitivity (Rizzo and Glasson 2012). Fur-

thermore, Iskandar has identified as a pioneer metropolis to promote the use of renewable

80 energy and advanced green technology (Fig. 2).

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310		□ TYPESET
$\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{S}}$	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🔽 DISK
			ТН.

81 2 Literature Review

82 2.1 Housing Satisfaction

Measures of housing satisfaction provide additional insight regarding individuals' experience with housing, and can be used to evaluate the quality of all types of housing (Natham 1995). Housing satisfaction is considered a very useful criterion in the evaluation of green housing because it indicates the general level of success, measures the users' affective and cognitive responses and point out the tiresome aspects of green dwelling.

88 There have been different approaches to conceptualize housing satisfaction. In the 89 purposive approach, satisfaction is conceptualized as a measure of the degree to which the 90 environment facilitates or inhibits the goal of the user (Canter and Rees 1982). This 91 approach, which is rooted in a cognitive view, emphasizes on goals or associated activities 92 in relation to the attributes of the physical environment. For example, a household may live 93 in a green home with the purpose of improving the quality of life as well as cost savings 94 and if these intentions are met, it is possible that they could gain a high level of housing 95 satisfaction.

96 Households are not only goal-oriented but they also value affective relations with the 97 housing situation. The aspiration gap approach is the more common conceptual framework 98 of housing satisfaction, describing housing satisfaction as being a comparison between 99 households' actual and desired housing and neighborhood situations (Galster 1987). Fol-100 lowing this approach, a high degree of congruence between actual and desired housing and 101 neighborhood situations is an indication of a high rate of satisfaction because the housing 102 and neighborhood conditions met households' needs and aspirations.

Based on previous literatures, there is little doubt that objective and subjective measures of housing attributes are significant factors of housing satisfaction (Lu 1999; Roper et al. 2009; Amole 2009; Tan 2012a). Objective measures refer to the actual measurements, such as the presence, the lack of, or quantities of attributes, while subjective measures refer to perception, emotions, attitudes and intentions towards the housing attributes. This paper focuses only on objective measures of green housing attributes to assess the performance of the quality of green homes.

110 2.2 Benefits that Motivate Households to Own Green Homes

111 There is a growing interest in constructing houses that incorporate sustainable and green 112 features (Green Building Index 2013). Houses are considered green when they use envi-113 ronmentally friendly materials for construction. Also, green homes use renewable energy 114 technologies, water conservation devices, solar panels, rainwater harvesting system, energy 115 efficiency appliances and passive design for natural cooling and heating (Toowoomba 116 Regional Council 2010).

It is crucial to ascertain the factors that motivate homeowners to buy a new home that incorporates sustainable measures and technologies. Homeowners may choose to own green homes because they may expect returns and rewards of owning such homes. As a matter of fact, green homes incorporate features that save energy and resources such as rainwater harvesting system, tropical landscaping, taller buildings, photovoltaic panels and environment-friendly or recycled materials, could reduce heat transmission and promote cross ventilation (Tan, 2013).

There is a growing interest in the physical structure of environmentally sustainable buildings (Eicholtz et al. 2008; Furst and McAllister 2011). This is because the built

83

84

85

•••	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	□ TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	DISK
Satisfaction and Mc	tivation of Homeowners		

environment accounts for an estimated 30–40 % of the total primary energy consumption and green house gas emission globally (Bond 2010). As pointed by Feliciano and Prosperi (2011), a significant share of green house gas emission from the residential sector could be due to fast and cheap construction practices without employing energyefficient measures and renewable energies. There has been an increasing focus on energy efficient construction methods in the built environment. In the past, housing developers have relied on conventional methods in building houses. However, conventional methods are unsustainable in the long run. Therefore, sustainable features in building homes are an important contributor to achieve a healthy and sustainable environment. Green homes generally have low carbon footprints, which is particularly important in the construction industry as this industry is a major consumer of raw materials (Lovell 2004; Feliciano and Prosperi 2011).

138 Housing, categorized as a social service, is more than just bricks and mortar. In fact, 139 housing is a building block of a community of households. A high quality of green housing needs to be designed to help households develop a sense of community. Similarly, 140 141 households are motivated to own green homes that could attain a desired level of livability 142 and promote development that is in line with the principles of sustainability. Livable 143 communities generally incorporate high standards of transportation, infrastructure and security to enhance healthy living, work and play (Tan 2012b). Another characteristic of 144 145 livable community is that there is a high level of cooperation and consensus among 146 residents because they tend to be involved in community affairs (Harkness and Newman 147 2003; Vera-Toscano and Ateca-Amestoy 2008).

148 Although the cost of developing a green building may be more than that of a con-149 ventional building, numerous studies have proven the financial advantages of green 150 buildings for both residential and commercial buildings. The most commonly cited 151 financial benefits of green building are the increase in rental income as well as the property 152 value (Furst and Mc Allister 2009; Miller et al. 2008; Pitts and Jackson 2008; Yu and Tu 153 2011). Green homes generally use key resources like energy and water more efficiently 154 than traditional homes, which results in savings on utilities bills (Ling and Gunawansa 155 2011). As a result, these externalities promote the overall reputation of the property.

156 2.3 Research Questions

Although public acceptance of low carbon emission housing has been steadily increasing,
has this acceptance led to high level of satisfaction among homeowners who are currently
residing in such homes? In order to better understand homes incorporating green and
sustainable features in the Malaysian context, the research questions were as follow:

- Which specific green features are households satisfied with?
- What are the benefits that motivate households to own green homes?

163 It is reasonable to believe that the degree (likelihood) of housing satisfaction may 164 depend on the motivation of owning green homes as motivation has been an important 165 reason in the explanation of homeownership (Tan 2012a). However, there is little empirical 166 evidence demonstrating how these motivations predict housing satisfaction in the context 167 of green homes. Therefore, this paper assesses whether these motivations show signs of 168 statistically significant predictors of satisfaction with green homes.

• To what extent do motivations of owning green homes predict housing satisfaction?

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

•	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
\$	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🔽 DISK

170 **3 Methods**

While green homes have been constructed by housing developers in United States, Europe and Australia, it is still at an early stage in Malaysia. The country's first green home was built in 2007. In recent times, housing developers from the state of Selangor has made their way south to Iskandar, bringing with the more sophisticated products and concepts, such as green homes. It is interesting to determine the extent to which homeowners from the state of Johor are satisfied with green homes and uncover the green features that they are not satisfied with.

This study is useful because it provides a case study for green development since there are limited examples of best practices for sustainable development in the country. This paper only focuses on three green housing projects developed by one of leading developers in the country. These three townships are located at the center of Iskandar growth corridor.

182 The green homes in these three townships are designed and constructed with the fol-183 lowing green features:

- Rainwater harvesting system is installed to collect rainwater from the sloping rooftops
 for irrigating plants and vegetation.
- The use of low carbon-emitting construction materials, such as the low volatile organic compound (VOC) paints, recycled terracotta bricks and raw concrete, recycled green rated gypsum plasterboards, recycled planks for the main gate and skylights for natural lighting.
- Installation of solar roof shingles to tap natural power resources to generate solar energy for green home.
- Glass doors and windows are positioned at appropriate areas of the house to allow daylight to enter the house.
- The homes are constructed with double-glazed glass panels to reduce heat transmission
 into the building.
- The homes are equipped with power saving lights and energy efficient appliances.
- 197 Low-flow water fixtures are installed to lessen the consumption of water.
- 13 feet high ceilings in the house allows ample natural lighting and cross ventilation.
- The homes are situated in the North–South position to avoid heat from direct sunlight.
- Roofs are fitted with materials that reduce solar heat.
- Family recreational facilities are provided in landscaped parks.
- The homes are within gated and guarded communities that come with security personnel and facilities.

This survey only focused semi-detached and detached houses in these townships. Reason being the Malaysian government recently mandated that builders of semi-detached and detached houses have to put in place energy-efficient features, such as rainwater harvesting system. There is no much difference in terms of housing conditions for both types of housing; therefore, housing characteristics are generally constant in this survey.

The data for this study is primary data. Questionnaire is selected as the instrument to collect primary data from homeowners. The sampling frame for any probability sample is a complete list of homeowners who own green homes in Township A, B and C. There are total of 295 green homes being built at this point by the developer. Questionnaire was distributed to 295 green home owners with the assumption that the sample was representative of the population. All respondents were asked to return their survey forms to the management office of each township. However, only 116 responded and returned their

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310		TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🖌 DISK

Table 1 Breakdown of the sample	Project	Population	Sample	%
	Project A	60	28	24.1
	Project B	126	53	45.7
	Project C	109	35	30.2
	Total	295	116	100.0

216 complete survey forms to the management office. The response rate of 40 % can be 217 attributed to the enthusiastic support from the developer (see Table 1).

This paper first determined a list of green features that are provided to homeowners after residing in homes incorporating sustainability measures for at least 6 months and asked them to rate the green features on a scale of 1 (strongly dissatisfied) to 5 (strongly satisfied) to express the extent to which they are satisfied or dissatisfied with the different types of green features.

Next, Factor Analysis (Principal Component Analysis and Varimax rotation) was used to examine whether the survey items relating to the benefits that motivate households to own green homes can be grouped into a number of motivators to create an index for motivations of owning green homes.

The measures of motivators were derived with slight modifications from several studies of Raisebeck and Wardlaw (2009), Ling and Gunawansa (2011), Tan (2012a) and Tan (2013). Respondents were asked as to how agreeable they were with these motivations of owning green homes, ranging from 1 for 'strongly disagreed' to 5 for 'strongly agreed'. Furthermore, in-depth interviews were conducted to ascertain the expectations and attainment of respondents and to discuss issues in relation to green and sustainable features.

234 The last part of the analysis was to use logistic regression models to determine the 235 effects of motivators on green housing satisfaction. The outcome variable in the analysis 236 was a categorical variable as respondents were asked to rate the overall satisfaction level of 237 their green home on a Likert-scale, where 1 = strongly dissatisfied; 5 = strongly satisfied. 238 In order to perform logistic regression, the response of 1, 2 and 3 was categorized as no (0) 239 and the response of 4 and 5 was grouped as yes (1). The independent variables were the 240 composite indices of motivators. Consequently, two logistic regression analyses were 241 performed. The first equation was to assess the effect of motivations on the likelihood of 242 being highly satisfied with the green home and the second one was to examine whether 243 these motivators predict green housing satisfaction while controlling for differences in 244 three housing projects and socio-demographic characteristics of respondents, such as 245 education attainment and marital status.

246 4 Results and Discussion

247 4.1 Satisfaction Level of Green Features

As expected, respondents made a purchase decision to own green homes that could lead to an important personal outcome. From the study, it seems that respondents are interested in

250 the experiences they can gain from using the product. The following tables were to rank the

251 satisfaction level of the respondents in Iskandar Malaysia based on specific green features

218

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🖌 DISK
			ті

Table 2	Тор	ten	most	satisfied
green fea	tures			

Rank	Green features	Mean	SD
1	13 feet high ceiling design in the house	4.2414	.90044
2	North–South orientation	4.1983	.94381
3	Green park with gym facilities	4.1293	.80790
4	Solar panel system in the house	4.0517	.80049
5	Cross ventilation in the house	3.9483	.67043
6	Double-glazed panel glass door and window	3.9483	.97664
7	Water features in the neighborhood	3.9397	.79442
8	Low VOC paint used in the house	3.8103	.90327
9	Compound lighting (LED light)	3.7845	.77802
10	Rockwool heat insulation in the roof	3.6466	1.18141

of the property (mean and standard deviation scores were calculated). According to this survey, the top ten most satisfied green features were: (Table 2).

254 In this survey, respondents generally focused more on green features to improve indoor 255 air quality such as high ceiling, North–South orientation, double-glazed panel glass door 256 and window and linear parks with recreational facilities. It has been found that respondents 257 paid much attention to homes with better ventilation, lighting and view of the outdoors, 258 which could result in high levels of satisfaction. Respondents generally agreed that high 259 ceiling homes allow cross ventilation for a cooling ambience, and homes oriented in the 260 North-South position could reduce heat by minimizing direct sunlight into the homes. 261 Furthermore, glass door and window that could allow the natural light to come through and 262 serve as ventilators could be used to lessen the usage of electricity. There is also evidence 263 that respondents were motivated to use solar panels to capture and store the heat from the 264 sun. Solar power would appear to be a good source of renewable energy for Malaysia as the 265 country is bathed in sunlight. The results also suggested that respondents will pay a 266 premium to live in a home with lush and landscaped greenery as the trees and shrubs 267 enveloping the development could act as the natural shades to cool down the house 268 naturally and reduce the need for cooling systems.

The top five least satisfied green features are: Table 3.

270 In these three projects, rainwater tanks are installed to capitalize on nature's offering by 271 collecting rainwater from the sloping rooftop for irrigating plants and vegetation. However, 272 respondents in the survey were not satisfied with the rainwater harvesting system that uses 273 recycled water for watering plants even though this could result in a significant reduction 274 of water consumption. These viewpoints are supported from the in-depth interview with 275 few respondents in describing the practicality of using the rainwater harvesting system. 276 One respondent in the interview explained: "The water collected is so dirty that I cannot 277 use to flush the toilets and irrigate the garden". Echoing these sentiments another 278 respondent said: "It is a good system but its practicality needs some work". He added 279 further: "The storage tank will dry out when there is no rain for a week." Judging from the 280 mixed responses to this system, it seems that much has to be done with regard to increasing 281 the practicality of this system. It appears that there is a need to improve the quality of the 282 rainwater filter collector which could effectively separate the water from leaves and other 283 debris. Furthermore, the system should come with a proper back-up in case there is no rain. 284 For an example, if the water level falls to a certain percentage of capacity, the control panel

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	V DISK

 Table 3
 Top 3 least satisfied

 green features
 100 minute

Rank	Green features	Mean	SD
1	Rain water harvesting	2.8448	.98337
2	Low-flow water fixtures	2.8879	1.16299
3	Recycled content ceramic wall and floor tiles	3.1552	.71753

will be triggered to open a valve to connect to the water from the domestic water storage tank which will keep the system running until it rains again.

287 According to this survey, it showed that low-flow water fixtures could not function as 288 good as the normal high-flow water fixtures. Low-flow water fixture is a water efficient 289 fitting to reduce water usage by reducing the flow of water, but respondents generally 290 complain about these low flow water features provided by the developer. As few respondents pointed out: "We need long waiting time to fill up a bottle of water and the 291 292 situation has gotten even worse when the water pressure is low due to clogged connecting 293 water pipes'. Also, households in the survey were not satisfied with the wall and floor tiles 294 that are made of recycled materials. From the above findings, it would seem that marketing 295 a green home is not without its share of challenges. Housing developers are required to 296 undertake continuously long-term engagement programs to promote and raise awareness 297 about environmental friendly home features.

298 4.2 Motivations of Owning Green Homes

The next stage of analysis is to investigate factors influencing motivation of owing green homes. Before examining the motivations of owning green housing, a total of 24 survey items were entered into factor analysis. 6 items were discarded for further analysis after considering items with factor loadings of 0.50 or more. The factor analysis performed finally yielded 4 factors with an eigenvalue of one or more and explained 68% of the variance.

305 It is interesting to note that the first factor which accounted for the largest variance was 306 related to 'Financial Incentives'. This factor ($\alpha = 0.875$) comprised of 4 statements with 307 28.217 % of variance with an eigenvalue of 5.079. Of the 4 statements, the statement 'The 308 green homes provide cost savings on future electricity bills' was the most important 309 statement with a loading of 0.808. The next highest statement was 'The value of green homes will increase' with a loading of 0.797. This was then followed by 'The homes 310 311 incorporating sustainable measures could fetch higher rental' and 'The green homes pro-312 vide cost savings on future water bills' with loadings of 0.787 and 0.630, respectively. In 313 line with the findings of Ling and Gunawansa (2011) and Raisebeck and Wardlaw (2009), 314 households are motivated to own green homes because such homes could have significant 315 operational savings in utilities. Despite the high development cost of a green home, 316 respondents generally believe that the savings in utilities could make the cost of owing 317 green homes cheaper in the long run. Furthermore, households in this survey generally 318 agreed that green-accredited homes are able to fetch higher premium in terms of capital 319 value and rental rates as compared to non-green compliant homes.

The second important factor found in this study was termed 'Healthy and Sustainable Environment' and consisted of 5 statements ($\alpha = 0.817$) with 19.562 % of variance with an eigenvalue of 3.521 that capture the capacity of green homes to reduce green house gas emission for a healthy and sustainable environment. In this survey, 'The green home uses

285

Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
Article No. : 310	□ LE	□ TYPESET
\$ MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🔽 DISK
		ТН.

renewable energy as its power source', 'Many green building products have longevity, thus making maintenance expenses more manageable', 'The homes should be built with sustainable construction materials', 'Our activities at home have an impact on the increased energy consumption' and 'Owning a green home is able to demonstrate my responsibility to the community' were associated with emission reduction for a healthy living environment, which have factor loadings of 0.720, 0.652, 0.646, 0.621, and 0.621, respectively. As mentioned earlier, houses are responsible for almost a third of total carbon emissions. A significant share of energy and lifestyle and behavior changes at home (Feliciano and Prosperi 2011). Respondents generally agreed that green homes are designed to save energy and resources and to minimize the emission of toxic substances throughout its lifecycle.

336 'Energy Efficiency' was the third motivator and consisted of 5 statements with an 337 eigenvalue of 2.109 (11.716 % of variance, $\alpha = 0.755$). From the results obtained, 'High 338 ceilings allow ample natural lighting and cross ventilation' was the most important 339 statement with a factor loading of 0.651. The next statements were 'The tree and shrubs 340 surrounding green homes can act as natural shades to cool down the house', 'Solar energy is a useful form of renewable energy', 'Glass doors and windows allow daylight to enter 341 342 the house', and 'The North-South orientation reduces direct heat into the house' with 343 factor loadings of 0.649, 0.638, 0.624 and 0.529, respectively. It is reasonable to believe 344 that green homes make best use of the sun, wind and rainfall to help supply the energy and 345 water needs of residents, In addition, green homes with high ceilings allow cross venti-346 lation for a cooling ambience. These green features could significantly reduce electricity 347 consumption as electricity for air handling, lighting and heating was decreased. This 348 suggested that respondents may be willing to own green homes that have design and layout 349 that utilizes prevailing wind conditions and have sufficient openings. The advantage of this 350 feature is that homes need not use air-conditioning, and this would lead to energy savings.

351 The last motivator was referred to as 'Livability', consisted of 'The green home offers 352 healthy living experience', 'The home is within a short distance of public community 353 amenities', 'The gated and guarded green neighborhood offers superior infrastructure, 354 landscaped greenery and recreational facilities', and 'The home is within a short distance 355 of workplace' with factor loadings of 0.706, 0.653, 0.644 and 0.639, respectively. The 356 eigenvalue for this motivator was 1.552 and the Cronbach's alpha value of this construct 357 was (0.752) considered reasonable. Similar to the finding of Eves and Kippes (2010), 358 distance to public-community amenities and workplace have an effect on households' 359 preference towards homeownership. This result also showed that respondents agree to pay 360 more to live in the gated and guarded green neighborhood because of the safety aspect 361 offered by security guards and day-to-day social activities obtained from recreational 362 facilities, suggesting that households will place preference on green homes in the gated and 363 guarded community when it comes to the matter of living (Table 4).

- 364 4.3 The Effect of Motivations on Satisfaction with Green Homes
- 365 Tables 5 and 6 showed the odds ratios for each of the motivators of owning green homes.
- The odds ratios indicate the likelihood of change on satisfaction of owning green homes for each statistically significant motivator.
- 368 As mentioned earlier, the first equation showed the effect of motivators of owning green 369 homes on housing satisfaction, whereas the second equation included housing projects and
- 370 socio-demographic characteristics as control variables. The results of the Cox & Snell R²

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

•	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	□ TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🔽 DISK

Table 4 Factor analysis

	Factor			
	1	2	3	4
Financial incentives (FI)				
The green homes provide cost savings on future electricity bills	.808			
The value of green homes will increase	.797			
The homes incorporating sustainable measure could fetch higher rental	.787			
The green homes provide cost savings on future water bills	.630			
Healthy and sustainable environment (HSE)				
The green homes use renewable energy as its power source		.720		
Many green building products have longevity, thus making maintenance expenses more manageable		.652		
The homes should be built with sustainable construction materials		.646		
Our activities at home have an impact on the increased energy consumption		.621		
Owning a green home is able to demonstrate my responsibility to the community		.621		
Energy efficiency (EE)				
High ceilings allow ample natural lighting and cross ventilation			.651	
The trees and shrubs surrounding green home can act as natural shades to cool down the house			.649	
Solar energy is a useful form of renewable energy			.638	
Glass doors and windows allow daylight to enter the house			.624	
The North-South orientation reduces direct heat into the house			.529	
Livability (L)				
Green homes offer healthy living experience				.706
The home is within a short distance of public community amenities				.653
The gated and guarded green neighborhood offers superior infrastructure, landscaped greenery and recreational facilities				.644
The home is within a short distance of workplace				.639
Eigenvalues	5.079	3.521	2.109	1.552
Eigenvalues % of variance explained	28.217	19.562	11.716	8.621
Cumulative % of variance explained	28.217	47.78	59.496	68.117
Alpha	.875	.817	.755	.752

and the Nagelbkerke R² values, which are also described as pseudo R² statistics revealed that the explanatory power of the second logistic regression increased by 12 % from 0.408 to 0.457 and 11.85 % from 0.692 to 0.774, respectively as compared to the first equation. Furthermore, the χ^2 values for the Hosmer and Lemeshow Goodness of Fit Test supported both models as being worthwhile.

The results from both equations revealed that an increase in livability of green community was significantly and positively related to the likelihood of housing satisfaction at the 0.05 level, while holding all other variables constant. The results in Table 5 showed that the satisfaction level of green homes is 14.43 times higher for homeowners who value 'livability' as a main motivator than homeowners who do not have this motivation yet.

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310		□ TYPESET
5	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🖌 DISK
			ТН.

Table 5 Logistic regression equation 1

	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp (B)
Financial incentives	1.857	0.743	6.237	1	0.013	6.402
Healthy and sustainable environment	2.629	1.187	4.907	1	0.027	13.86
Energy efficiency	2.389	0.873	7.495	1	0.006	10.903
Livability	2.669	0.912	8.569	1	0.003	14.43
Number of observation $= 116$						
-2 Log Likelihood (42.622); Cox and S	Snell R^2 (.4)	08); Nagelk	erke R ² (.69	92)		
Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = γ^2 (2.38)	33), df (8), S	Sig (.967)				

Table 6 Logistic regression equation 2

	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp (B)
Financial incentives	1.974	1.017	3.769	1	0.052	7.198
Healthy and sustainable environment	3.061	1.498	4.174	1	0.041	21.351
Energy efficiency	2.608	1.091	5.719	1	0.017	13.576
Livability	2.919	1.246	5.485	1	0.019	18.515
Project			1.710	2	0.425	
Project (B)	1.842	1.410	1.706	1	0.192	6.311
Project (C)	0.571	1.239	0.213	1	0.645	1.771
Education			2.470	2	0.291	
Secondary	1.974	1.820	1.176	1	0.278	7.196
Tertiary	2.810	1.796	2.448	1	0.118	16.606
Married	3.219	1.265	6.479	1	0.011	25.008
Number of observation $= 116$						
-2 Log Likelihood (32.717); Cox & Sn	tell R^2 (.457)); Nagelker	ke R ² (.774)		

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test = χ^2 (8.829), df (8), Sig (.357)

381 After controlling for housing projects and socio-demographic characteristics, there was 382 18.52 times higher in terms of satisfaction with their green homes. Both results indicated 383 homeowners in the survey place priority on green and sustainable settlements to cope with 384 the demand of quality of living. The construction of green homes should be planned with 385 the intention of providing a healthy living environment. Livability can basically be 386 understood in terms of a healthier and satisfying way of living. Measures of livability can 387 be found in the time taken to commute between home and workplace, or whether the living 388 areas have the proper amenities. Therefore, most homeowners want their homes to be 389 located conveniently in relation to their place of employment, schools, shops, recreational 390 facilities and transportation. For green communities to thrive and stay relevant, it is 391 important to create space for people to walk, mingle, communicate and interact. As pointed 392 out by Vera-Toscano and Ateca-Amestoy (2008) and Tan (2012a), social links with other 393 inhabitants living nearby could contribute higher housing satisfaction among residents.

The next determinant was to analyze whether those who acquired green homes because of energy efficiency were more satisfied with their homes. As shown in the survey, the probability of reporting housing satisfaction was 10.90 times higher for homeowners who agree green homes use key resources like energy more efficiently than for homeowners

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No.: 310	LE	TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	V DISK

who did not agree, all other factors being equal. The likelihood of homeowners reporting a higher satisfaction was decreased by 24.5 % to 13.58 times after controlling for differences in housing projects, education attainment and marital status. As explained by Tan (2013), a house that has passive designs end up saving a lot of energy and resource with the minimal use of mechanical systems. For example, high ceilings for promoting cross ventilation, North–South orientation to avoid direct heat, glass doors and windows for daylight to enter the house, and trees for natural shades. As a result, this motivation may contribute to higher housing satisfaction.

406 A positive and significant relationship was reported in model 1 (sig = 0.027; odds 407 ratio = 13.86 times) and model 2 (sig = 0.041; odds ratio = 21.351 times), respectively 408 on the impact of 'healthy and sustainable environment' on satisfaction with green housing. 409 The estimation for the survey showed that homeowners evaluate their housing satisfaction 410 based on the residential sector's impact on climate change. Environmentally sustainable 411 building generally has low carbon footprints, which is particularly important in an effort to 412 reduce the impact of buildings on carbon emissions (Cradduck and Wharton 2011). As 413 mentioned earlier, green homes focus on reducing the building's impact on human health 414 and the environment during the building's lifecycles through better sitting, design, con-415 struction, operation, maintenance and removal (Seelig 2011). In fact, there has been a 416 growing awareness and focus on energy saving measures and recycling in construction 417 methods and practices in the country (Green Building Index 2013).

418 In terms of the effect of 'financial incentives', there was 12.4 % (odds ratios from 6.40 419 to 7.20) increase in the likelihood of reported higher satisfaction with green homes when 420 taking control variables into consideration. However, the relationship was only statistically 421 significant at the 10 % level (sig = 0.052). These positive effects may be attributable to potential financial benefits of owning green homes. The financial benefits from green 422 423 homes take the form of capital and income growth. The capital growth is realized through 424 increased value of the property and the income may be the actual income through rental 425 payments from tenants. Furthermore, green buildings generally consume less energy and 426 these externalities could translate into the saving on water and electricity bills (Eves and 427 Kippes 2010; Ling and Gunawansa 2011), and consequently reporting a high level of 428 satisfaction towards their green homes.

429 Of socio-demographic characteristics, only marital status was statistically significantly 430 related to the likelihood of housing satisfaction when controlled for all other factors. In the 431 present study, the influence of the increase in educational attainment of the respondent was 432 not an important predictor of housing satisfaction even though many studies confirmed that 433 more highly educated households might be more likely to pay for environmentally sen-434 sitive products. Similarly, there were no significant differences associated with housing 435 satisfaction between three different housing development projects in Iskandar Malaysia.

436 **5** Conclusions and Recommendation

In recent years there has been increasing media coverage on issues relating to green homes.
A green home focuses on increasing the efficient usage of resource use, while reducing the
building's impact on human health and the environment during the building's lifecycle
through better sitting, design, construction, operation, maintenance and removal.

Housing developers are urged to build homes that incorporate green and sustainable
 features because of the impact of the built environment on climate change. However we do
 not know which green features are preferable by households. Therefore, this research

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No.: 310	□ LE	TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	🖌 DISK
			Т-І

intends to fill the gap by determining the extent to which homeowners are satisfied with
different types of green features and uncovering the green features that homeowners are
not satisfied with. The aspiration gap approach of housing satisfaction is adopted in this
study to understand households' evaluation and their experience of using energy efficiency
measures.

Based on the results, respondents are satisfied with features that lead to energy and water efficiency, environmental protection and better indoor environmental quality, such as 13 feet high ceiling, North–South orientation, solar panel system and lush parks with recreational facilities. Rainwater harvesting system, low flow water fixtures and recycled ceramic titles, on the other hand, are not popular features of green homes. This paper also presents and discusses factors which measure benefits that homebuyers receive when they choose to own green homes. According to the survey, there are significant benefits, as defined by Financial Returns, Healthy and Sustainable Environment, Energy Efficiency and Livability that can be received through owning green homes.

Green homes are not just about the physical building being green. It requires a fundamental shift in attitudes and changes in our activities at home. It appears that homebuyers are conscious of what they are buying and they also insist on the house design that is efficient in energy and water consumption.

In order to lead the local property industry towards becoming more environmentfriendly, different stakeholders need to contribute a collaborative effort particularly the designers, architects, engineers, government and developers to support the green building rating tools that are developed locally for local conditions. Furthermore, developers should continue to contribute to the green efforts by creating thorough information and education that put genuine green thoughts into the design such as, rainwater harvesting system and low-flow water fixtures to minimize energy and resource usage.

469 Appendix 1: Survey Items

470 Satisfaction of Green Home Attributes

471	Please rate the satisfaction level of your current residence with the following energy
472	efficiency housing attributes on a 5- point scale $(1 = \text{strongly dissatisfied}, 2 = \text{dissatisfied},$
473	3 = neutral, $4 = $ satisfied, and $5 = $ strongly satisfied).

1	Modern metal sunscreen louvre facade	1	2	3	4	5
2	Rockwool heat insulation in the roof	1	2	3	4	5
3	Heat extracting wind turbine	1	2	3	4	5
4	Rain water harvesting	1	2	3	4	5
5	North–South orientation	1	2	3	4	5
6	Recycled content ceramic wall and floor tiles	1	2	3	4	5
7	Low-flow water fixtures	1	2	3	4	5
8	Double-glazed panel glass door and window	1	2	3	4	5
9	Solar panel system in the house	1	2	3	4	5
10	10 % of housing area allocated for landscaping	1	2	3	4	5
11	Low VOC paint used in the house	1	2	3	4	5
12	13 feet high ceiling design in the house	1	2	3	4	5

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

•	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
5	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	DISK

13	Cross ventilation in the house	1	2	3	4	5
14	Courtyard space in the house	1	2	3	4	5
15	Recycle bin at the park	1	2	3	4	5
16	Cycling and jogging track in the neighborhood	1	2	3	4	5
17	Water features in the neighborhood	1	2	3	4	5
18	Green park with gym facilities	1	2	3	4	5
19	Road system with boulevard concept	1	2	3	4	5
20	Compound lighting (LED light)	1	2	3	4	5
21	How satisfied are you with green home in general? (the dependent variable)	1	2	3	4	5

477 Benefits of Owning Green Home

478 Please rate your level of agreement or disagreement with the following statements on a 5479 point scale (1 = strongly disagreed, 2 = disagreed, 3 = neutral, 4 = agreed, and
480 5 = strongly agreed).

1	The green homes provide cost savings on future electricity bills	1	2	3	4	5
2	The value of green homes will increase	1	2	3	4	5
3	The homes incorporating sustainable measure could fetch higher rental	1	2	3	4	5
4	The green homes provide cost savings on future water bills	1	2	3	4	5
5	The green homes use renewable energy as its power source	1	2	3	4	5
6	Many green building products have longevity, thus making maintenance expenses more manageable	1	2	3	4	5
7	The homes should be built with sustainable construction materials	1	2	3	4	5
8	Our activities at home have an impact on the increased energy consumption	1	2	3	4	5
9	Owning a green home is able to demonstrate my responsibility to the community	1	2	3	4	5
10	High ceilings allow ample natural lighting and cross ventilation	1	2	3	4	5
11	The trees and shrubs surrounding green home can act as natural shades to cool down the house	1	2	3	4	5
12	Solar energy is a useful form of renewable energy	1	2	3	4	5
13	Glass doors and windows allow daylight to enter the house	1	2	3	4	5
14	The North-South orientation reduces direct heat into the house	1	2	3	4	5
15	Green homes offer healthy living experience	1	2	3	4	5
16	The home is within a short distance of public community amenities	1	2	3	4	5
17	The gated and guarded green neighborhood offers superior infrastructure, landscaped greenery and recreational facilities	1	2	3	4	5
18	The home is within a short distance of workplace	1	2	3	4	5
19	Landscaping in the neighborhood enhances greenery	1	2	3	4	5
20	Owning a green home because of the concern for future generation	1	2	3	4	5
21	Owning a green home could improve my status	1	2	3	4	5
22	Government should have initiative in providing incentives through energy- efficiency tax rebates or subsidies	1	2	3	4	5

	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	□ TYPESET
5	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	V DISK
			TH. Tai

23 2 3 4 5 The construction industry is regarded as one of the major contributors to climate 1 change

24 Low-flow water fixtures can function as good as the normal water fixtures 1 2 3 4 5

References

- Adriaanse, C. C. M. (2007). Measuring residential satisfaction: A residential environmental satisfaction scale (RESS). Journal of Housing and the Build Environment, 22, 287-304.
- Amole, D. (2009). Residential satisfaction in student housing. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 29, 76-85.
- Bond, S. (2010). Lessons from the leaders of green designed commercial building in Australia. Pacific Rim Property Research Journal, 16(3), 314–338.
- Canter, D., & Rees, K. (1982). A multivariate model of housing satisfaction. International Review of Applied Psychology, 31, 185-208.
- Choguill, C. L. (2008). Developing sustainable neighborhood. Habitat International, 32, 41-48.
- Cradduck, L., & Wharton, N. (2011). The adoption of residential sustainability programs: Lessons from the commercial sector. Pacific Rim Property Research Journal, 17(3), 388-403.
- Eicholtz, P., Kok, N., & Quigley, J. (2008). Doing Well by Doing Good? Green Office Buildings: Working Paper, Fisher Centre for Real Estate and Urban Economics, University of California, Berkeley.
- Erdogan, N., Akyol, A., Ataman, B., & Dokmeci, V. (2007). Comparison of Urban housing satisfaction in modern and traditional neighborhoods in Edirne, Turkey. Social Indicators Research, 81, 127-148.
- Eves, C., & Kippes, S. (2010). Public awareness of 'green' and 'energy efficient' residential property: An empirical survey based on data from New Zealand. Property Management, 28(3), 193-208.
- Feliciano, M., & Prosperi, D. C. (2011). Planning for low carbon cities: Reflection on the case of broward county, Florida, USA. Cities, 28, 505-516.
- Fisk, W. J. (2000). Health and productivity gains from better indoor environment and their relationship with building energy efficiency. Annual Review of Energy and Environment, 25(1), 536-537.
- Furst, F., & Mc Allister, P. (2009). An investigation of the effect of eco-labeling on office occupancy rates. Journal of Sustainable Real Estate, 1(1), 49-64.
- Furst, F., & McAllister, P. (2011). Green noise or green value? Measuring the effects of environmental certification on office values. Real Estate Economics, 39, 45-69.
- Galster, G. (1987). Identifying the correlates of dwelling satisfaction: an empirical critique. Environment and Behavior, 19(5), 539-568.
- Gunderson, K. (2006). Simple green. Journal of Property Management, 71(5), 42-45. 513
 - Green Building Index. (2013). Residential new construction (RNC)-Certified Building. http://www.ukawa.action.com greenbuildingindex.org/organisation-certified-buildings-NRNC.html> Accessed 19 Jan 2013.
 - Harkness, J. M., & Newman, S. J. (2003). Effects of homeownership on children: The role of neighborhood characteristics and family income. Economic Policy Review, 9(2), 87-107.
 - Ling, F. Y. Y., & Gunawansa, A. (2011). Strategies for potential owners in singapore to own environmentally sustainable homes. Engineering. Construction and Architectural Management, 18(6), 570-594.
 - Lovell, H. (2004). Framing sustainable housing as a solution to climate change. Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning, 6(1), 35-55.
 - Lu, M. (1999). Determinants of residential satisfaction: Ordered logit versus regression models. Growth and Change, 30, 264–287.
- 522 523 524 525 Miller, N., Spivey, J., & Florance, A. (2008). Does green pay off. Journal of Sustainable Real Estate, 8(2), 91-103.
- 526 527 Natham, V. (1995). Residents' satisfaction with the sites and service approach in affordable housing. Housing and Society, 22(3), 53-78.
- 528 Pitts, J. & Jackson, T. O. (2008). Green Buildings: Valuation Issues and Perspectives. Appraisal Journal, 529́ Spring, 115–118. 530
- Raisebeck, P., & Wardlaw, S. (2009). Considering client-driven sustainability in residential housing. 531 International Journal of Housing Market and Analysis, 2(4), 318–333.
- 532 Rizzo, A., & Glasson, J. (2012). Iskandar Malaysia. Cities, 29(6), 417-427.
- 533 Roper, A., Voler, B., & Flap, H. (2009). Social network and getting a home: Do contacts matter? Social 534 Networks, 31, 40-51. 535
- Seelig, S. (2011). A master plan for low carbon and resilient housing: The 35 Ha area in Hashtegerd New 536 Town, Iran. Cities, 28, 545-556.

484

483 485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

•	Journal : Small 11205	Dispatch : 19-3-2013	Pages : 17
	Article No. : 310	□ LE	TYPESET
\sim	MS Code : SOCI-D-13-00038	CP	V DISK

- Tan, T. H. (2008). Determinants of homeownership in Malaysia. Habitat International, 32, 318-335.
- Tan, T. H. (2012a). Housing satisfaction in medium- and high-cost housing: the case of greater Kuala Lumpur. *Habitat International*, *36*(1), 108–116.
- Tan, T. H. (2012b). Meeting first-time buyers' housing needs and preferences in greater Kuala Lumpur. *Cities*, 29(6), 389–396.
- Tan, T. H. (2013). Determinants of Intention to Inhabit Eco-Friendly Homes in Malaysia. Journal of Green Building, 8 (3), (In Press).
- Toowoomba Regional Council. (2010). Sustainable Home Toowoomba, Sustainable Living. Accessed 18.12.2011.
- Vera-Toscano, E., & Ateca-Amestoy, V. (2008). The relevance of social interactions on housing satisfaction. Social Indicators Research, 86, 257–274.
- Yu, S. M. & Tu, Y. (2011). Are green buildings worth more because they cost more? IRES Working Paper Series IRES2011-023.

537 538

539

540

541

542

-543

544

545

546

547

548